

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

PAPER

12/23/2008

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/923,923 | 08/07/2001 | Richard D. Martin | 401-13U1 | 9008 |
| 570 7590 12/23/2008 PANITCH SCHWARZE BELISARIO & NADEL LLP ONE COMMERCE SQUARE | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A | |
| | MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200 ADELPHIA, PA 19103 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2454 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Applicant(s)
09/923,923

MARTIN ET AL.

Examiner

MOHAMMAD A. SIDDIOI

2454

| | | 7 | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| | MOHAMMAD A. SIDDIQI | 2454 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | | |
| (1) MOHAMMAD A. SIDDIQI. | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Clark A Jablon</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 10 December 2008. | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2 | 2)⊠ applicant's representative | e] | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>13</u> . | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: 6393605. | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g |)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N | I/A. | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A discussion was held to understand the reference. No agreement was made; however. Proposed claim language was discussed that could clarify the scope of the invention. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| Mehammad A Siddigi/ | | | | | | |
| Examiner, Art Unit 2454 | | | | | | |